

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,673	11/22/2005	Dominik Eisert	5367-167PUS	8373
27799	7590 06/1	6/2006	EXAM	INER
COHEN, PO	NTANI, LIEBI	LIU, BEN	LIU, BENJAMIN T	
551 FIFTH AV	/ENUE			
SUITE 1210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10176		2826	

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			\overline{A}			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/529,673	EISERT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Benjamin T. Liu	2826				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.4 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUI 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) M e, cause the application to become	NICATION. or a reply be timely filed IONTHS from the mailing date of this core ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 /	March 2005.					
·— ·	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	Minhloan 7 Primary Exa	nminer			
Application Papers		Art Unit 2	826			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
-						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/529,673

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-13, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257.
 - II. Claims 14-53, drawn to method of manufacturing a semiconductor device, classified in class 438.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process of claim 18 can be materially altered by using an oxidation heat treatment in order to apply the TiO wetting layer instead of by means of sputtering or vapor deposition.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Thomas Langer on May 30, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/529,673 Page 3

Art Unit: 2826

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2826

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin T. Liu whose telephone number is (571) 272-6009. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:30 AM-6:00AM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on 571 272 1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BTL 6/8/2006